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## "Spectrum Slicing"

> Context: very large number of eigenvalues to be computed
> Goal: compute spectrum by slices by applying filtering
> Apply Lanczos or Subspace iteration to problem:

$$
\phi(A) u=\mu u
$$

$\phi(t) \equiv$ a polynomial or rational function that enhances wanted eigenvalues

Pol. of degree 32 approx $\delta(.5)$ in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 & 1\end{array}\right]$


Rationale. Eigenvectors on both ends of wanted spectrum need not be orthogonalized against each other :

> Idea: Get the spectrum by 'slices' or 'windows' [e.g., a few hundreds or thousands of pairs at a time]
$>$ Note: Orthogonalization cost can be very high if we do not slice the spectrum

## Illustration: All eigenvalues in [0, 1] of a $49^{3}$ Laplacean



Note: This is a small pb. in a scalar environment. Effect likely much more pronounced in a fully parallel case.

## How do I slice my spectrum?

Answer: Use the spectral density, aka, 'Density Of States' (DOS)
$>$ DOS inexpensive to compute

Slice spectrum into 8 with the DOS


$$
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \phi(t) d t=\frac{1}{n_{\text {slices }}} \int_{a}^{b} \phi(t) d t
$$

## Polynomial filtering: The $\delta$-Dirac function approach



Three filters using different smoothing


Pol. of degree 32 approx $\delta(.5)$ in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 & 1\end{array}\right]$

$\longleftarrow$ Damping: Jackson, Lanczos $\sigma$ damping, or none.

## 'The soul of a new filter' - A few technical details

Issue \# one: | 'balance the filter'
> To facilitate the selection of 'wanted' eigenvalues [Select $\lambda$ 's such that $\rho(\lambda)>$ bar] we need to ...

$>\ldots$ find $\gamma$ so that $\rho(\xi)-\rho(\eta)=0$
Procedure: Solve the equation $\rho_{\gamma}(\xi)-\rho_{\gamma}(\eta)=0$ with respect to $\gamma$, accurately.
Use Newton scheme


## Issue \# two: | Determine degree \& polynomial (automatically)

Start low then increase degree until value (s) at the boundary (ies) become small enough - Exple for [0.833, 0.907..]





## Which Projection: Lanczos, w/o restarts, Subspace iteration,..

## Options:

> Subspace iteration: quite appealing in some applications (e.g., electronic structure): Can re-use previous subspace.
> Simplest: (+ most efficient) Lanczos without restarts
> Lanczos with Thick-Restarting [TR Lanczos, Stathopoulos et al '98, Wu \& Simon'00]
$>$ Crucial tool in TR Lanczos: deflation ('Locking')
Main idea: Keep extracting eigenvalues in interval $[\xi, \eta]$ until none are left [remember: deflation]
> If filter is good: Can catch all eigenvalues in interval thanks to deflation + Lanczos.

## Polynomial filtered Lanczos: No-Restart version


> Use Lanczos with full reorthogonalization on $\rho(A)$. Eigenvalues of $\rho(A): \rho\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$
$>$ Accept if $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}\right) \geq$ bar
$>$ Ignore if $\rho\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}\right)<$ bar


## Rational filters: Why?

> Consider a spectrum like this one:

> Polynomial filtering utterly ineffective for this case
> Second issue: situation when Matrix-vector products are expensive
> Generalized eigenvalue problems.
> Alternative is to use rational filters:

$$
\phi(z)=\sum_{j} \frac{\alpha_{j}}{z-\sigma_{j}}
$$

$$
\phi(A)=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}\left(A-\sigma_{j} I\right)^{-1}
$$

We now need to solve linear systems
> Tool: Cauchy integral representations of spectral projectors


$$
P=\frac{-1}{2 i \pi} \int_{\Gamma}(A-s I)^{-1} d s
$$

- Numer. integr. $\boldsymbol{P} \rightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}$
- Use Krylov or S.I. on $\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}$
> Sakurai-Sugiura approach [Krylov]
$>$ FEAST [Subs. iter.] (E. Polizzi)


## The Gauss viewpoint: Least-squares rational filters

$>$ Given: poles $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots, \sigma_{p}$
$>$ Related basis functions $\phi_{j}(z)=\frac{1}{z-\sigma_{j}}$
Find $\phi(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_{j} \phi_{j}(z)$ that minimizes

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t)|h(t)-\phi(t)|^{2} d t
$$

$>h(t)=$ step function $\chi_{[-1,1]}$.
$>w(t)=$ weight function.
For example $a=10$, $\beta=0.1$

$$
w(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & |t|>a \\
\beta & \text { if } & |t| \leq 1 \\
1 & \text { else } &
\end{array}\right.
$$

> Many advantages

## Spectrum Slicing and the EVSL project

$>$ EVSL package now at version 1.1.x
> Uses polynomial and rational filtering: Each can be appealing in different situations.

Spectrum slicing: Invokes Kernel Polynomial Method or Lanczos quadrature to cut the overall interval containing the spectrum into small sub-intervals.


## Levels of parallelism



The two main levels of parallelism in EVSL

## gVSL Main Contributors (version 1.1.0+) \& Support



- Ruipeng Li LLNL

- Yuanzhe Xi

Post-doc (UMN)


- Luke Erlandson

UG Intern (UMN)
> Work supported by NSF (also past work: DOE)
> See web-site for details:
http://www-users.cs.umn.edu/~saad/software/EVSL/

## EVSL: current status \& plans

Version_1.0 Released in Sept. 2016

- Matrices in CSR format (only)
- Standard Hermitian problems (no generalized)
- Spectrum slicing with KPM (Kernel Polynomial Meth.)
- Trivial parallelism across slices with OpenMP
- Methods:
- Non-restart Lanczos - polynomial \& rational filters
- Thick-Restart Lanczos - polynomial \& rational filters
- Subspace iteration - polynomial \& rational filters


## Version_1.1.x V_1.1.0 Released back in August $2017 .^{2}$

- general matvec [passed as function pointer]
- $\boldsymbol{A x}=\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{B x}$
- Fortran (03) interface.
- Spectrum slicing by Lanczos and KPM
- Efficient Spectrum slicing for $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{x}$ (no solves with $B$ ).

Version_1.2.x pEVSL - In progress

- Fully parallel version [MPI + openMP]


## Spectrum slicing and the EVSL package

- All eigenvalues in [0, 1] of of a $49^{3}$ discretized Laplacian
- eigs(A, 1971,'sa'): 14830.66 sec
- Solution: Use DOS to partition $[0,1]$ into 5 slices
- Polynomial filtering from EVSL on Mesabi MSI, 23 threads/slice

| $\left[a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right]$ | $\#$ eigs | CPU time $(\mathrm{sec})$ |  | max residual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | matvec | orth. |  |  |
| $[0.00000,0.37688]$ | 386 | 1.31 | 18.26 | 28.66 | $2.5 \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-14}$ |
| $[0.37688,0.57428]$ | 401 | 3.28 | 38.25 | 56.75 | $8.7 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $[0.57428,0.73422]$ | 399 | 4.69 | 36.47 | 56.73 | $1.7 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $[0.73422,0.87389]$ | 400 | 5.97 | 38.60 | 61.40 | $6.6 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| $[0.87389,1.00000]$ | 385 | 6.84 | 36.16 | 59.45 | $4.3 \times 10^{-12}$ |

$>$ Grand tot. $=263 \mathrm{~s}$. Time for slicing the spectrum: 1.22 sec .

## Computing the Earth normal modes



- Collaborative effort: Rice-UMN:
J. Shi, R. Li, Y. Xi, YS, and M. V. De Hoop
- FEM model leads to a generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{A}_{s} & & \boldsymbol{E}_{f s} \\
& 0 & \boldsymbol{A}_{d} \\
\boldsymbol{E}_{f s}^{T} & \boldsymbol{A}_{d}^{T} & \boldsymbol{A}_{p}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{u}^{s} \\
\boldsymbol{u}^{f} \\
\boldsymbol{p}^{e}
\end{array}\right]=\omega^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{M}_{s} & & \\
& \boldsymbol{M}_{f} & \\
& &
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{u}^{s} \\
\boldsymbol{u}^{f} \\
\boldsymbol{p}^{e}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Want all eigen-values/vectors inside a given interval
- Issue 1: 'mass' matrix has a large null space..
- Issue 2: interior eigenvalue problem
- Solution for 1: change formulation of matrix problem [eliminate $p^{e}$...]
> New formulation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{s} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\binom{\boldsymbol{E}_{f s}}{\boldsymbol{A}_{d}} \boldsymbol{A}_{p}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{E}_{f s}^{T} & \boldsymbol{A}_{d}^{T}
\end{array}\right)\right\}}_{\widehat{A}}\binom{u^{s}}{u^{f}}= \\
& \omega^{2} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{M}_{s} & 0 \\
0 & M_{f}
\end{array}\right)}_{\overparen{M}}\binom{\boldsymbol{u}^{s}}{u^{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

> Use polynomial filtering - need to solve with $\widehat{M}$ but ...

- ... severe scaling problems if direct solvers are used Hence:
$>$ Replace action of $M^{-1}$ by a low-deg. polynomial in $M$ [to avoid direct solvers]
> Memory : parallel shift-invert and polynomial filtering Machine: Comet, SDSC

|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Matrix size |  | \# Proc.s.



Recent: weak calability test for different solid (Mars-like) models on TACC Stampede2

| nn/np | Mat-size | $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{v}(m \mathrm{~s})$ | $\leftarrow$ Eff. | $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{m s})$ | $\leftarrow$ Eff. | $M^{-1} v(\mu \mathrm{~s})$ | $\leftarrow$ Eff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 / 96$ | $1,038,084$ | 1760 | 1.0 | 495 | 1.0 | 0.01044 | 1.0 |
| $4 / 192$ | $2,060,190$ | 1819 | 0.960 | 568 | 0.865 | 0.0119 | 0.870 |
| $8 / 384$ | $3,894,783$ | 1741 | 0.948 | 571 | 0.813 | 0.0119 | 0.825 |
| $16 / 768$ | $7,954,392$ | 1758 | 0.959 | 621 | 0.763 | 0.0129 | 0.774 |
| $32 / 1536$ | $15,809,076$ | 1660 | 1.009 | 572 | 0.824 | 0.0119 | 0.834 |
| $64 / 3072$ | $31,138,518$ | 1582 | 1.043 | 566 | 0.820 | 0.0117 | 0.837 |
| $128 / 6144$ | $61,381,362$ | 1435 | 1.133 | 546 | 0.838 | 0.0113 | 0.851 |
| $256 / 12288$ | $120,336,519$ | 1359 | 1.173 | 592 | 0.757 | 0.01221 | 0.774 |

## Conclusion

> EVSL code available here: [Current version: version 1.1.1]

```
www.cs.umn.edu/~saad/software/EVSL
```

$>$ EVSL Also on github (development)
Plans: (1) Release fully parallel code; (2) Block versions;
(3) Iterative solvers for rational filt.; (4) Nonhermitian case;
> Earth modes calculations done with fully parallel code
$\rightarrow$ Not quite ready for distribution

A final note: Scalability issues with parallel direct solvers ...
> ... Needed: iterative solvers for the highly indefinite case

